

Decision Session - Executive Member for Transport and Planning

Thursday, 22 June 2017

DECISIONS

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Decision Session - Executive Member for Transport and Planning held on Thursday, 22 June 2017. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

Members are reminded that, should they wish to call in a decision, notice must be given to Democracy Support Group no later than 4pm on the second working day after this meeting.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Catherine Clarke on 01904 551031

4. Traffic Signal Asset Renewal (TSAR) - Junction Alterations

Resolved:

i) That the proposed design for Tadcaster Road/St Helens Road junction be approved.

Reason: The recommended design offers the best solution to allow replacement of the asset in line with current design standards, whilst improving pedestrian facilities without significantly impacting vehicular traffic. It includes a minor improvement to safety.

ii) That the proposed design for Heworth Road/Melrosegate junction be approved.

Reason: The recommended design offers the best solution to allow replacement of the asset in line with current design standards, whilst minimising the impact on pedestrians and vehicular traffic. It includes a minor improvement to safety.

iii) That Design Option A be approved for Rougier Street/Tanner Row junction.

Reason: Design Option A offers the best solution to allow

replacement of the asset in line with current design standards, whilst minimising the impact on pedestrians, vehicular traffic and air quality. It includes a minor improvement to safety.

5. **Thanet Road Local Safety Scheme**

Resolved: That the proposed scheme, shown in Annex C of the report, be approved for implementation with the following amendment:

- The bus lay-by will not be in-filled, but a slight change will be made to the positioning of the speed cushions plus some hatch road markings added (as shown on drawing TP/150019/THRD/GA/01C)
- Visibility from side accesses will be reviewed prior to implementation.
- Post-implementation monitoring will take place to assess the effectiveness of the scheme.

Reason: To address a road safety concern identified on Thanet Road. The proposal to fill-in the lay-by was to stop it being available for drivers to bypass the adjacent speed cushions. However, having reviewed the design, it was felt that the addition of some hatch road markings, coupled with a slight adjustment of the cushions positions, would make this unlikely to become a problem.

6. **Consideration of results from the consultations in various areas following petitions received requesting Residents' Priority Parking**

Resolved:

That an amendment to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order, to introduce Residents' Priority Parking Areas for the following, be advertised for the following areas:

South Bank Avenue – (Option 1 be agreed, to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the existing R57 residents priority parking scheme to include No's 1 – 108 South Bank Avenue, 64 Nunthorpe Grove and 147 – 149 Bishopthorpe Road, to create a new larger zone boundary as

per plan in Annex A1(A) in addition convert the whole zone to Community – R57C, this enables businesses to purchase permits for the zone.

Reason: This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority view of all residents consulted as a whole and removes the likelihood of problems relocating further up South Bank Avenue.

St Aubyn's Place – (Option 1 be agreed, to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents' Priority Parking Area for St Aubyn's Place to operate between 9am and 5pm, 7 days a week.

Reason: This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority opinion.

Beresford Terrace area – (Option 1 be agreed, to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the existing R58C residents parking zone to include the whole consultation area. This would create one large zone as per plan in Annex C1(A).

Reason: This is the recommended option as it reflects the majority view of all residents consulted as a whole. By introducing one large zone this increases the on street parking available to residents.

St Peter's Quarter, Martins Court and Carleton Street – (Option 1 be agreed, to advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents Priority Parking Area for the St Peter's Quarter development only.

Martins Court and Carleton Street; No further action at this time. If residents of these streets raise a petition requesting resident parking within 18 months of any implementation of a scheme on St Peter's Quarter we request authorisation to undertake additional consultation at that time with a view to adding them to the same scheme.

Advertise a proposal to include No Waiting at any Time restrictions (double yellow lines) at the entrance to the development and in the fountain turning area as shown on the plan at Annex D4.

Reason: This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority view of residents from the streets consulted.

7. **Fossgate Traffic Management Consultation**

Resolved:

- (i) That Option 4 be approved, to take forward an Experimental traffic regulation order for up to 18 months to create a pedestrian zone except for access and pedal cycles 8am to 6pm, 7 days a week and to reverse the direction of the one way traffic flow.

Reason: Because there is a good level of support indicated from the consultation and an Experimental scheme enables the council to respond rapidly to any unexpected issues that might arise during the experiment period; and

- (ii) That Option 7 be approved, to give delegated authority to officers to:
 - (a) determine where street cafes can be positioned between the hours of 11am and 5pm.
 - (b) advertise a proposal to amend the York Parking Stopping and Waiting Traffic Order 2014 to include a no loading restriction for 10-15 metres at the Pavement end of Fossgate.

Reason: To further enhances the pedestrian priority in the street and provide good flexibility within the experimental period.

8. **Consideration of the results of the consultation process reference Residents' Priority Parking in Holgate Central**

Resolved:

That approval be given to advertise an amendment to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order, to introduce a Residents' Priority Parking Area, as outlined in Option 1 of the report as detailed below:

- (a) Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the R60 Residents' Priority Parking Area to operate Monday to Saturday as outlined on the plan at Annex F of the report (excluding private streets and St Paul's Mews).

- (b) St Paul's Mews to be reconsidered for inclusion in the scheme if further representations are made within a 18 month period from implementation of any neighbouring scheme.
- (c) The bays on Watson Street to be marked and signed individually to allow 2 hour parking for non-permit holders.
- (d) Advertise an amendment to the eligibility requirements of Commercial Permits to allow staff from St Paul's Nursery School and St Paul's CE Primary School to purchase permits to park.

Current Eligibility: *"A person who, in the course of that person's business or calling, is required to visit residential or business premises within a zone."* These are issued for use away from the normal place of work. Recommended Addition: *"Any staff member of an education establishment for 0 to 18 year olds that doesn't have off street parking provision at the time the residents parking zone is implemented."*

- (e) Replace and add street name plates for Enfield Crescent and Wilton Rise to include wording "Private Street, Resident Parking Only"

Reason: To progress the majority views of the residents consulted and to take into consideration the needs of the schools and churches in the area

9. Highway Condition Petitions – The Horseshoe and Muncastergate

Resolved:

- (i) That the petitions detailed in paragraph 5 of the report be noted.
- (ii) That the detail of the report and the conclusions drawn in paragraphs 13 and 14 of the report be noted.

Reason: To ensure the effective delivery of funding to address key priorities across the cities highway network

10. Danesmead Estate Residents' Parking Petitions

Resolved:

- (i) That Option 3 be approved and that the Danesmead Estate and Fulford Cross Area be added to the Residents parking waiting list and an investigation carried out when it reaches the top of the list.

Reason: Because this will respond to residents concerns in the order they are raised and can be progressed depending on funding available each year.

- (ii) That a strategic review of the Residents Parking policy be undertaken

Reason: To provide a more strategic and effective response to residents parking concerns in the city.

11. Barbican Mews Residents' Parking Petitions

Resolved:

- (i) That the petition, requesting that consideration is given to yellow lines at the entrance to the Mews and the implementation of a resident only parking scheme, be noted
- (ii) That the information be added to the Annual Review but that no further action be taken at this time.

Reason: Because the issue is already on the Annual Review of traffic regulation order requests list for investigation.

12. Rosedale Street Residents' Parking Petition

Resolved:

- (i) That Option 3 be approved and Rosedale Street be added to the Residents parking waiting list and an investigation carried out when it reaches the top of the list.

Reason: Because this will respond to residents concerns in the order they are raised and can be progressed depending on funding available each year.

- (ii) That a strategic review of the Residents Parking policy be undertaken.

Reason: To provide a more strategic and effective response to residents parking concerns in the city.

13. Traffic Signals Asset Renewals, Procurement of Engineering Support

Resolved: That the tendering and subsequent award of engineering design support services, to support the delivery of the Traffic Signals Asset Renewals (TSAR) Programme up to a value of £300,000 over four years, be approved.

Reason: To provide specialist traffic signal engineering design services to support CYC staff in the ongoing delivery of the remaining four years of the TSAR Programme. This service is required to provide capability in the design and implementation of traffic signals schemes in addition to the general highways and civil engineering design and construction support provided internally by the Highways and Projects Teams.